



SQF – Schneegans Quantitative Finance

Syndicated Loans

Aspects of Market Structure,
Valuation and Risk

Dr. Joachim Schneegans

October 2026

Contents

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Market Structure and Quantitative Classification	1
3	Mathematical Pricing Framework (Market vs. Model)	3
4	Hybrid Pricing: Market Observation and Model Valuation	4
5	Credit and Spread Risk	6
6	Liquidity Risk and Liquidation Costs	8
7	Valuation and Regulatory Classification	10
8	Final remark	11
	References	11

1 Executive Summary

Syndicated loans represent a significant segment of international corporate finance. Their secondary market includes leveraged loans, loan participations, sub-participations and subordinated structures relating to a wide range of large-scale financing projects. Following syndication, these exposures may be traded between banks, institutional investors and specialized credit investors [1, 2, 3].

Unlike liquid bond markets, price discovery is often characterized by limited market transparency, low trading frequency and, in some cases, wide bid-ask spreads. Market price, model value and the price that can actually be executed may therefore differ considerably [2, 9].

This whitepaper provides an analytical perspective on the valuation and risk measurement of syndicated loans and combines market-based price observations with model-based methods. The focus is on determining an appropriate credit spread, credit and default risks, and the particular importance of liquidity and inventory risks.

Building on this, approaches for measuring credit-spread sensitivities, liquidation costs and portfolio risks are presented. In addition, the accounting and regulatory frameworks relevant to valuation and risk measurement are classified [5, 6, 7].

The objective is an integrated view of price discovery and risk that can be used both for trading individual loan positions and for managing loan portfolios.

Credit default swaps (CDS), credit indices, total return swaps and interest-rate derivatives can reduce credit-spread, default and interest-rate risks. However, differences between the loan and the available hedging instruments generally leave residual basis risk.

The pricing principles developed in this whitepaper also provide broader insights into quotation and risk management for market making in illiquid and over-the-counter financial instruments.

2 Market Structure and Quantitative Classification

2.1 Economic Function of the Secondary Market

The secondary market for syndicated loans performs an important intermediation function between borrowers, originating banks and institutional investors.

For institutional investors, it provides access in particular to credit markets that are unavailable, or only partially available, through public bond or equity markets. Examples include leveraged loans arising from M&A and LBO financings as well as other large-volume corporate financings.

Direct lending involves substantial barriers to entry for many investors. These include in particular

- no direct access to loan origination,
- large original loan amounts,

- complex origination and due-diligence processes,
- extensive loan and security agreements, and
- demanding documentation and settlement requirements.

Through syndication and subsequent secondary trading, individual loan participations can instead be acquired and existing positions adjusted or sold. Institutional investors thereby gain access to an investment universe that would otherwise be difficult to reach.

At the same time, a market arises for banks and specialized dealers to intermediate and continuously provide liquidity. The economic attractiveness of trading results in particular from bid-ask margins, liquidity premia and the ability to intermediate credit risks between different investor groups.

These revenue opportunities are, however, offset by substantial credit-spread, default, liquidity and inventory risks. Especially for leveraged loans, observable quotations may diverge significantly, and executable prices may differ materially from model-based valuations.

Price discovery, liquidity and risk measurement therefore form a directly interconnected process and the starting point for the following analysis.

The secondary market for syndicated loans (*Leveraged and Corporate Loans*) presents quantitative analysts, portfolio managers and trading units (*Trading Desks*) with complex challenges.

Unlike liquid bond markets, loan trading is characterized by bilateral contracts, opaque OTC structures and asymmetric information distribution.

2.2 Regulatory and Institutional Portfolio Context

Syndicated loans are held in both trading and banking books, with different accounting, valuation, and regulatory requirements. At the same time, the significant role of CLOs as institutional investors adds complexity to loan pricing through their specific portfolio constraints and investment requirements.

- **Trading Book – FVTPL:** Trading-book positions are subject to strict mark-to-market requirements (*Fair Value through Profit or Loss*). Under the requirements of the FRTB (*Fundamental Review of the Trading Book*), trading desks must monitor sensitivities, Default Risk Charges (DRC) and Expected Shortfall metrics on a daily basis.
- **Banking Book:** Many loans are held in the banking book at amortized cost (*Amortized Cost under IFRS 9*). The transfer from the Banking Book to the Trading Book requires robust quantitative classification and valuation models.
- **CLO Dominance:** Collateralized Loan Obligations form the core of the demand side. Their structural restrictions (overcollateralization tests, diversity scores) create stochastic feedback effects on secondary-market prices.

In what follows we will provide an analytical perspective on the valuation and risk measurement of syndicated loans and combine market-based price observations with model-based methods.

3 Mathematical Pricing Framework (Market vs. Model)

In practice, syndicated loan valuation is a hybrid optimization and estimation process. The pricing spectrum can be formulated as a continuum ranging from direct market observations to purely model-based approaches.

3.1 Mathematical Formulation of the Valuation Levels

The fair value of a loan $V(t)$ at time t is derived in model-theoretical terms as the expected present value of future cash flows, taking into account default risk (hazard rate $\lambda(t)$) and stochastic discount rates $r(t)$ under the risk neutral measure $\mathbb{Q}$. [4]:

$$V(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n D(t, t_k) CF_k \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t_k\}} + D(t, \tau) Rec(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq t_n\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Here,

$$D(t, t_k) = \exp \left(- \int_t^{t_k} r(u) du \right)$$

denotes the stochastic discount factor.

The variable τ denotes the random default time (*Default Time*) with conditional default intensity $\lambda(t)$.

The proceeds at the time of default (*Recovery*) are defined as

$$Rec(\tau) = (1 - LGD) \cdot EAD(\tau).$$

The filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ denotes the information set available at valuation time t . In the context of a syndicated loan, this includes in particular observable yield curves, credit spreads, ratings, market prices and quotes, as well as borrower-specific and contract-specific information available up to time t .

The conditional expected value

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [\cdot \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

therefore means that future uncertain cash flows are valued on the basis of all information available at time t .

Limitations of Risk-Neutral Valuation

Risk-neutral valuation relies on the absence of arbitrage and the existence of an equivalent martingale measure. However, several characteristics of syndicated loan markets limit the practical applicability of this framework:

- **Incomplete markets:** Credit risks cannot generally be replicated or fully hedged using continuously traded instruments.
- **Limited liquidity and price transparency:** Infrequent transactions and indicative dealer quotes make it difficult to infer reliable risk-neutral default probabilities.
- **Restricted arbitrage:** High transaction costs, transfer restrictions, settlement delays, and limited short-selling opportunities constrain arbitrageurs' ability to exploit pricing discrepancies.
- **Non-unique martingale measure:** In incomplete markets, arbitrage-free pricing does not generally determine a unique valuation measure.

Consequently, risk-neutral valuation provides a theoretical benchmark, while practical syndicated loan pricing must also rely on observable transactions, comparable instruments, and model-based estimates.

3.2 The Five Hierarchical Pricing Levels

Depending on the availability and reliability of market information, syndicated loan pricing can be classified into five hierarchical levels, ranging from observable transaction prices to model-based valuations and accounting book values.

1. **Trade Price** (P_{trade}): Empirical transaction price from verified market trades.
2. **Dealer Quote** (P_{quote}): Indicative bid/ask prices from market makers, modeled as a stochastic process with inventory-dependent liquidity and funding adjustment. $\theta(I_t)$.
3. **Evaluated Price** (P_{eval}): Composite prices from pricing providers (input for IFRS 9 / NAV reporting).
4. **Theoretical Fair Value** (P_{model}): Deterministic and stochastic model valuation (DCF with risk-adjusted spreads).
5. **Book Value** (V_{book}): Amortized cost without market sensitivity.

4 Hybrid Pricing: Market Observation and Model Valuation

In practice, syndicated-loan valuation can be based neither exclusively on observable market prices nor exclusively on theoretical valuation models. Particularly for less liquid loans, continuously observable transaction prices are often unavailable.

A robust valuation procedure therefore combines observable market data with model-based prices and explicit liquidity adjustments.

4.1 Market-Based Information

The most important directly or indirectly observable market information includes:

- actual transaction prices P_{trade} ,
- indicative or executable bid and ask quotes,
- observed trading volumes,
- prices of comparable loans,
- credit spreads of comparable issuers,
- information on demand from banks, credit funds and CLOs,
- broker information and other market indications.

Observed transactions can, for example, be aggregated using a volume-weighted average price:

$$P_{\text{VWAP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N V_i P_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N V_i},$$

where P_i denotes the price and V_i the volume of the i -th observed transaction.

4.2 Model-Based Information

If current market prices are unavailable or only partially available, valuation must rely more heavily on model-based information. This includes in particular:

- credit-spread curves $s(t, T)$,
- interest-rate and discount curves,
- rating and probability of default,
- recovery or LGD assumptions,
- prices of comparable loans,
- sectoral and regional peer groups,
- contractual features such as maturity, seniority, covenants, floor and amortization structure.

Model-based valuation may rely on risk-adjusted discounted cash flow models, as discussed in Chapter 3, or on statistical peer-group approaches using comparable loans and observable credit spreads.

4.3 Hybrid Valuation Approach

Market and model information can be combined through a time-dependent weighting parameter $\alpha(t)$:

$$P^*(t) = \alpha(t)P_{\text{market}}(t) + (1 - \alpha(t))P_{\text{model}}(t) - A_{\text{liq}}(I_t).$$

with

$$0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq 1.$$

The parameter $\alpha(t)$ describes the quality and observability of the available market information.

Under high market liquidity and with recent transactions, typically

$$\alpha(t) \rightarrow 1,$$

whereas for illiquid loans without current transaction prices,

$$\alpha(t) \rightarrow 0$$

may apply.

$A_{\text{liq}}(I_t)$ denotes a price-related liquidity adjustment. It may depend in particular on the current inventory position I_t , market liquidity, observable bid-ask spreads, funding costs and the expected liquidation period.

The weighting parameter $\alpha(t)$ can be determined analytically by rearranging the hybrid pricing equation, provided all other parameters and an observed reference price are known. Alternatively, it can be estimated from historical transaction data using statistical or machine learning methods, such as Ridge or LASSO regression, incorporating relevant market and liquidity factors.

5 Credit and Spread Risk

The credit risk of a syndicated loan includes both the actual default risk and the risk of a deterioration in credit quality before default occurs. Changes in the credit spread are of particular importance for the market-value performance of a loan.

5.1 Expected Loss

In a simplified one-period analysis, the expected credit loss is

$$EL = PD \times LGD \times EAD,$$

where PD denotes the probability of default, LGD the loss given default and EAD the exposure at default.

5.2 Systematic Credit Risk and Pre-Trade Quick Check

For a rapid indicative assessment of credit risk before a trade is concluded, an ASRF-based approximation can be used as a *Pre-Trade Quick Check*.

A simplified one-factor representation is:

$$K_{\text{Quick}} = LGD \cdot \Phi \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho}} \Phi^{-1}(PD) + \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \Phi^{-1}(0.999) \right) - PD \cdot LGD.$$

Here, ρ denotes the asset correlation and Φ the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

For an exposure EAD , this yields an approximate absolute risk measure:

$$RC_{\text{Quick}} = EAD \cdot K_{\text{Quick}}.$$

The ASRF-based measure serves only as a rapidly computable pre-trade indicator. The actual portfolio risk measurement is performed in the investor's credit portfolio model, for example through CreditMetrics-based one-factor or multi-factor models that account in particular for rating migrations, defaults, concentrations and dependencies between borrowers.

5.3 Spread Duration and CS01

In addition to default risk, the sensitivity of market value to changes in the credit spread is of central importance for tradable loans.

Spread duration is defined as

$$D_s = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial V}{\partial s}.$$

It measures the relative change in market value V for a marginal change in the credit spread s .

For small spread changes, approximately

$$\frac{\Delta V}{V} \approx -D_s \Delta s.$$

The absolute sensitivity to a one-basis-point change in the credit spread is referred to as CS01:

$$CS01 = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial s} \times 10^{-4}.$$

The change in market value for small spread movements can therefore be approximated by

$$\Delta V \approx -CS01 \times \Delta s_{bp}$$

where Δs_{bp} denotes the spread change in basis points.

For floating-rate loans, conventional interest-rate duration is typically relatively low. Credit-spread sensitivity, by contrast, can be substantial.

5.4 Floors as an Optional Component

Many leveraged loans contain a floor for the reference rate. The coupon payment can, for example, be defined as

$$C_t = N [\max(R_t, F) + s],$$

where N denotes the notional amount, R_t the reference rate, F the floor and s the contractual credit margin.

From the lender's perspective, the floor has positive option value because the reference rate used to calculate the coupon cannot fall below F .

6 Liquidity Risk and Liquidation Costs

Syndicated loans are predominantly traded over the counter. Market liquidity is therefore not continuously available and can deteriorate substantially, particularly during periods of stress.

For risk measurement, it is therefore not only the change in market value that is relevant, but also the price at which and the period within which a position can actually be liquidated.

6.1 Bid-Ask Spread and Executable Market Price

An essential feature of the syndicated-loan market is the limited tradability of many positions. Observable quotes do not necessarily represent prices at which a specific position can actually be traded in full.

The frequently used mid-price

$$P_{\text{mid}} = \frac{P_{\text{bid}} + P_{\text{ask}}}{2}$$

is merely a calculated reference measure. Particularly in illiquid markets, there need not be any opportunity to transact at this price.

For measuring liquidity risk, the executable price is therefore of greater importance. In addition to the general market situation, it depends in particular on trade direction, position size and available market depth.

For the sale of a position, the liquidity adjustment can generally be represented as follows:

$$LC_{\text{sell}} = V_{\text{reference}} - V_{\text{executable}}$$

Here, $V_{\text{reference}}$ denotes a reference value used for valuation and $V_{\text{executable}}$ the liquidation value actually attainable under current market conditions.

The bid-ask spread thus provides an indication of market liquidity, but by itself is not a complete measure of actual liquidation costs.

6.2 Liquidation Horizon

In addition to price, the time required to liquidate a position is an essential component of liquidity risk.

The liquidation horizon

$$\tau_{\text{liq}}$$

denotes the period required under given market conditions to reduce a position without causing unrealistically high additional market-price effects.

As market liquidity declines, τ_{liq} may increase substantially. At the same time, this extends the period during which the position remains exposed to credit-spread and other market risks.

6.3 Liquidity-Adjusted Value-at-Risk

A simplified link between market-price risk and liquidity risk can be represented through a *Liquidity-Adjusted Value-at-Risk*:

$$L\text{-VaR}_\alpha = \text{VaR}_\alpha(h) + LC(Q, \tau_{\text{liq}}),$$

where $\text{VaR}_\alpha(h)$ denotes market-price risk over the risk horizon h and $LC(Q, \tau_{\text{liq}})$ the additional liquidation costs.

The liquidation costs may consist in particular of:

$$LC = LC_{\text{spread}} + LC_{\text{impact}}$$

This accounts for two different components of liquidity risk: the exogenous costs arising from the observable bid-ask spread and the endogenous market impact that may be caused by the position's own size.

The L-VaR shown is to be understood as an economic risk concept and does not constitute a generally applicable regulatory definition.

7 Valuation and Regulatory Classification

The valuation and risk measurement of syndicated loans also depend on their accounting and regulatory classification. For this analysis, the valuation requirements under IFRS and the distinction between the banking book and the trading book are particularly relevant.

7.1 Accounting Valuation

Financial assets are classified under IFRS 9. Depending on the business model and the SPPI criterion, syndicated loans are measured in particular at amortized cost, FVOCI or FVTPL.

Where fair-value measurement is required, the valuation requirements of IFRS 13 are relevant. For illiquid syndicated loans, the combination of observable market information, comparable instruments and model-based valuation already discussed in Section 3 is particularly important.

The accounting classification under IFRS 9 must be distinguished from the prudential allocation to the trading book or banking book.

7.2 Banking Book and Trading Book

For regulatory purposes, syndicated loans in the banking book are generally treated like other loan receivables. Syndication does not establish a separate regulatory credit-risk approach.

If syndicated loans are instead held for trading purposes, they may be subject to the FRTB market-risk rules. For a typical floating-rate loan, the following risks are particularly relevant:

Credit spread	→	CSR,
Reference rate	→	GIRR,
Interest-rate floor	→	Vega / Curvature,
Default	→	DRC.

For quantitative valuation, determining an appropriate credit spread curve is particularly relevant. For illiquid leveraged loans, sufficiently liquid instrument-specific market prices are often unavailable. Valuation may therefore be based on comparable instruments, issuer curves or suitable proxy curves.

A rating alone does not constitute a credit spread curve. Likewise, an observed bid-ask spread must not be interpreted entirely as a credit spread because it also contains liquidity, inventory and execution effects.

7.3 Leveraged Loans

Under the FRTB internal-models approach, the limited market observability of leveraged loans may become additionally important. Risk factors that are not sufficiently observable may be

treated as *Non-Modellable Risk Factors* (NMRF).

In addition, P&L attribution may be complicated when the front-office valuation reacts to transaction-specific factors such as liquidity, investor demand or market technicals, while these price movements are explained only partially by the risk factors used in the risk model.

Regulatory requirements therefore directly affect the selection of valuation models, market data and risk factors. The economic analysis of credit-spread, default and liquidity risks nevertheless remains the central subject of risk measurement.

8 Concluding remarks

This paper has examined various aspects of syndicated loan markets, ranging from market structure and pricing methodologies to credit risk, liquidity risk, and regulatory considerations. While the discussion cannot cover every dimension of this complex market, we hope to have provided a useful quantitative framework and practical guidance for valuation and risk management.

Translating these concepts into consistent operational processes across treasury, trading, risk controlling, accounting, and regulatory reporting remains challenging. In particular, integrating market information, quantitative models, and regulatory requirements into a coherent framework requires both methodological rigor and robust system architectures.

Ultimately, the development of intelligent and efficient financing and refinancing alternatives is essential for well-functioning financial markets. By facilitating the allocation and transfer of credit risk, syndicated lending contributes to the availability of capital and, not least, to sustainable economic growth.

References

- [1] Banegas, A., Castelo, S., Degerli, A., Dobridge, C., Kennedy, W. (2026): *Private Credit and Leveraged Loan Markets: Similarities, Differences, and Substitution*. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, FEDS Notes, August 2026.
- [2] Loan Syndications and Trading Association (LSTA) (2026): *Secondary Trading and Settlement Study: 4Q25*. January 2026.
- [3] European Commission / Europe Economics (2019): *EU Loan Syndication and Its Impact on Competition in Credit Markets*. Final Report, Directorate-General for Competition. DOI: 10.2763/738938.
- [4] Bielecki, T. R., Rutkowski, M. (2002): *Credit Risk: Modeling, Valuation and Hedging*. Springer Finance, Springer, Berlin. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-04821-4.
- [5] International Accounting Standards Board (IASB): *IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments*. IFRS Foundation.
- [6] International Accounting Standards Board (IASB): *IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement*.

IFRS Foundation.

- [7] European Parliament and Council (2024): *Regulation (EU) 2024/1623 amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 as regards requirements for credit risk, credit valuation adjustment risk, operational risk, market risk and the output floor*. Official Journal of the European Union.
- [8] Loan Syndications and Trading Association (LSTA) (2026): *Morningstar LSTA Leveraged Loan Index Analysis: December 2025*. January 2026.
- [9] S&P Dow Jones Indices (2026): *Liquidity in an Illiquid Market: Record TRS Volumes on the S&P UBS USD Liquid Leveraged Loan Index*. March 2026.
- [10] Association for Financial Markets in Europe (AFME) (2026): *European High Yield, Leveraged Loan, and Private Credit Report – Q4 2025*. February 2026.
- [11] S&P Dow Jones Indices (2026): *S&P UBS Rated Western European Leveraged Loan Index*. Market data, September 2026.
- [12] S&P Global Ratings (2025): *Broadly Syndicated Loan CLO Primer*. June 2025.